

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/211,155 12/14/1998 MICHAEL EPSTEIN PHA-23-548

> 7590 04/22/2002

ALGY TAMOSHUNAS US PHILIPS CORPORATION 580 WHITE PLAINS ROAD TARRYTOWN, NY 10591

EXAMINER BARRON JR, GILBERTO ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2132

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u> </u>	·
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/211,155	EPSTEIN, MICHAEL
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Gilberto Barrón Jr.	2132
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS API Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment which 	ation. A proper reply to a character state and the character in the character and th
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail	-	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).	e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding am- of the shortened statutory period for reply ffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered l	because:	
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) woul canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: _		idered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:	
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.		
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11 and 13-20</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on i	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	———— —
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		That ?

Gilberto Barrón Jr. Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2132



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The proposed amendment of "enabling" to each step of claim 18 is a new issue; the subject matter of Claim 19 was not fully incorporated into claim 18, thus a new issue is raised and the proposed amendment lacks antecedent basis for :"the response parameter". Further, other independent claims 1 and 9 were not proposed to be amending so the issues for appeal are not reduced..

Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's argument are not persuasive as Applicant argues the references of Tomko and Chaum separately...